arXiv:math/0607809v1 [math.SP] 31 Jul 2006

Inverse spectral analysis for finite matrix-valued Jacobi operators

Jochen Brüning* and Dmitry Chelkak† and Evgeny Korotyaev‡

February 2, 2008

Abstract

Consider the Jacobi operators $\mathcal{J}$ given by $(\mathcal{J}y)_n = a_n y_{n+1} + b_n y_n + a_{n-1}^* y_{n-1}$, $y_n \in \mathbb{C}^m$ (here $y_0 = y_{p+1} = 0$), where $b_n = b_n^*$ and $a_n : \det a_n \neq 0$ are the sequences of $m \times m$ matrices, $n = 1, .., p$. We study two cases: (i) $a_n = a_n^* > 0$; (ii) a_n is a lower triangular matrix with real positive entries on the diagonal (the matrix $\mathcal{J}$ is $(2m+1)$-band $mp \times mp$ matrix with positive entries on the first and the last diagonals). The spectrum of $\mathcal{J}$ is a finite sequence of real eigenvalues $\lambda_1 < \ldots < \lambda_N$, where each eigenvalue λ_j has multiplicity $k_j \leqslant m$. We show that the mapping $(a, b) \mapsto \{(\lambda_j, k_j)\}_1^N \oplus \{\textit{additional spectral data}\}$ is 1-to-1 and onto. In both cases (i), (ii), we give the complete solution of the inverse problem.

1 Introduction and main results

We consider the finite matrix-valued Jacobi operator $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{J}_{a,b}$ acting in $(\mathbb{C}^p)^m$ and given by

$$\mathcal{J} = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & a_1 & 0 & 0 & ... & 0 \\ a_1^* & b_2 & a_2 & 0 & ... & 0 \\ 0 & a_2^* & b_3 & a_3 & ... & 0 \\ ... & ... & ... & ... & ... & ... \\ 0 & ... & 0 & a_{p-2}^* & b_{p-1} & a_{p-1} \\ 0 & ... & 0 & 0 & a_{p-1}^* & b_p \end{pmatrix}, \tag{1.1}$$

where $b = (b_n)_1^p$ and $a = (a_n)_1^{p-1}$ are the finite sequences of $m \times m$ matrices such that $b_n \in \mathbf{S} = \{b : b = b^*\}$ and $\det a_n \neq 0$ for all $n \in \overline{1,p} = \{1, 2, \ldots, p\}$. We consider two cases:

(i) $a_n \in \mathbf{S}_+ = \{a : a = a^* > 0\}$;

(ii) $a_n \in \mathbf{L}_+ = \{a : a$ is a lower triangular matrix with real positive entries on the diagonal$\}$.

---

[*] Institut für Mathematik, Humboldt Universität zu Berlin, e-mail: bruening@math.hu-berlin.de

[†] Dept. of Math. Analysis, Math. Mech. Faculty, St.Petersburg State University. Universitetskij pr. 28, Staryj Petergof, 198504 St.Petersburg, Russia, e-mail: delta4@math.spbu.ru

[‡] Correspondence author. Institut für Mathematik, Humboldt Universität zu Berlin, Rudower Chaussee 25, 12489 Berlin, Germany, e-mail: evgeny@math.hu-berlin.de

In both cases we obtain the complete characterization of the set of spectral data that correspond to these classes of operators $\mathcal{J}_{a,b}$. Note that in the second case $\mathcal{J}_{a,b}$ are self-adjoint $(2m+1)$-band matrices of the size $mp \times mp$ with positive entries on the first and the last diagonals.

The spectrum $\sigma(\mathcal{J})$ of $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{J}^*$ is a finite sequence of real eigenvalues

$$\lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \ldots < \lambda_N,$$

where each eigenvalue λ_j, $j \in \overline{1,N}$ has multiplicity $k_j \in \overline{1,m}$, i.e., k_j is the number of the linearly independent eigenvectors corresponding to λ_j. Note that

$$k_1 + k_2 + \ldots + k_N = mp.$$

Introduce the fundamental $m \times m$ matrix-valued solutions $\varphi(z) = (\varphi_n(z))_0^{p+1}$ and $\chi(z) = (\chi_n(z))_0^{p+1}$ of the equation

$$a_n y_{n+1} + b_n y_n + a_{n-1}^* y_{n-1} = z y_n, \qquad (z,n) \in \mathbb{C} \times \overline{1,p}, \tag{1.2}$$

such that

$$\varphi_0 \equiv \chi_{p+1} \equiv 0, \quad \varphi_1 \equiv \chi_p \equiv I,$$

where I is the identity $m \times m$ matrix and we set $a_0 = a_p = I$ for convenience. Note that $\varphi_n(z)$ and $\chi_n(z)$, $n \in \overline{1,p}$ are matrix-valued polynomials such that $\deg \varphi_n(z) = n$, $\deg \chi_n(z) = p+1-n$. Each eigenvector $\psi = (\psi_n)_1^p$, $\mathcal{J}\psi = \lambda_j \psi$, has the form $\psi_n = \varphi_n(\lambda_j)v$ for some $v \in \mathbb{C}^m$. Hence, the eigenvalues of $\mathcal{J}$ are zeros of $\det \varphi_{p+1}(\cdot)$.

Definition 1.1 (Spectral data). *For each eigenvalue λ_j, $j \in \overline{1,N}$, we define the subspace*

$$\mathcal{E}_j = \operatorname{Ker} \varphi_{p+1}(\lambda_j) = \Big\{ h \in \mathbb{C}^m : \varphi_{p+1}(\lambda_j)h = 0 \Big\} \subset \mathbb{C}^m, \quad \dim \mathcal{E}_j = k_j \leqslant m, \tag{1.3}$$

the orthogonal projector $P_j : \mathbb{C}^N \to \mathcal{E}_j \subset \mathbb{C}^N$ *onto* $\mathcal{E}_j$ *and* **the positive self-adjoint operator** $g_j : \mathcal{E}_j \to \mathcal{E}_j$ *given by*

$$g_j = G_j|_{\mathcal{E}_j}, \quad \textit{where} \quad G_j = P_j \sum_{n=1}^{p} \varphi_n^*(\lambda_j)\varphi_n(\lambda_j) P_j. \tag{1.4}$$

We now describe the connection between our spectral data and the matrix-valued Weyl-Titchmarsh function $M(z)$ given by

$$M(z) = -\chi_1(z)(\chi_0(z))^{-1}, \qquad z \in \mathbb{C}. \tag{1.5}$$

Proposition 1.2. *The function $M(z) = M^*(\overline{z})$ is analytic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{\lambda_j, j \in \overline{1,N}\}$. Moreover,*

$$M(z) = -\sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{B_j}{z - \lambda_j}, \qquad \sum_{j=1}^{N} B_j = I, \tag{1.6}$$

where the self-adjoint matrices $B_j = \operatorname*{res}_{z=\lambda_j} M(z) = B_j^*$ are given by

$$B_j|_{\mathcal{E}_j} = g_j^{-1}, \qquad B_j|_{\mathbb{C}^N \ominus \mathcal{E}_j} = 0, \quad j \in \overline{1,N}. \tag{1.7}$$

In order to formulate our main result we need

Definition 1.3. *We call the system* $\{(\lambda_j, P_j), j \in \overline{1,N}\}$ *of the distinct real numbers* λ_j *and the orthogonal projectors* $P_j : \mathbb{C}^m \to \mathbb{C}^m$ **the p − tame system**, *if* $\sum_{j=1}^N \operatorname{rank} P_j = mp$ *and*

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} T_0 & T_1 & ... & T_{p-1} \\ T_1 & T_2 & ... & T_p \\ ... & ... & ... & ... \\ T_{p-1} & T_p & ... & T_{2p-2} \end{pmatrix} \neq 0, \qquad T_s = \sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_j^s P_j, \quad s = 0,..,2p-2.$$

Remark. (i) The $mp \times mp$ matrix $(T_{sk})_{s,k=0}^{p-1}$ is always non-negative definite (see (3.1)). Hence, the system $\{(\lambda_j, P_j), j \in \overline{1,N}\}$ is $p-$tame iff this matrix is strictly positive definite.
(ii) Let $N = p$ and $k_j = \dim \mathcal{E}_j = \operatorname{rank} P_j = m$ for all $j \in \overline{1,p}$, i.e., $P_j = I$ for all j. Then for each distinct values $\lambda_1 < \ldots < \lambda_p$ the system $\{(\lambda_j, I), j \in \overline{1,p}\}$ is $p-$tame.
(iii) Let $p = 2$, $N = 3$, $k_1 + k_2 = k_3 = m$. Then the system $\{(\lambda_1, P_1), (\lambda_2, P_2), (\lambda_3, I)\}$ is $2-$tame iff $\operatorname{Ker} P_1 \cap \operatorname{Ker} P_2 = \{0\}$.

Introduce the set of spectral data

$$\mathcal{S}_p = \left\{ (\lambda_j, P_j, g_j)_1^N : \begin{array}{l} p \leqslant N \leqslant pm, \ \lambda_1 < \ldots < \lambda_N \text{ are real numbers;} \\ \{(\lambda_j, P_j), j \in \overline{1,N}\} \text{ is a } p-\text{tame system;} \\ g_j : \operatorname{Ran} P_j \to \operatorname{Ran} P_j \text{ are linear operators such} \\ \text{that } g_j = g_j^* > 0 \text{ and } \sum_{j=1}^N P_j g_j^{-1} P_j = I \end{array} \right\} \tag{1.8}$$

and the mapping

$$\Psi : \big((a_n)_1^{p-1}; (b_n)_1^p\big) \mapsto (\lambda_j, P_j, g_j)_1^N \ .$$

We formulate our main result.

Theorem 1.4. *(i) The mapping* $\Psi : \mathbf{S}_+^{p-1} \times \mathbf{S}^p \to \mathcal{S}_p$ *is one-to-one and onto.*
(ii) The mapping $\Psi : \mathbf{L}_+^{p-1} \times \mathbf{S}^p \to \mathcal{S}_p$ *is one-to-one and onto.*

There is an enormous literature on inverse spectral problems for scalar (i.e., $m = 1$) Jacobi matrices (see book [T] and references therein), but considerably less for matrix-valued Jacobi operators (see [CGR] and references therein). The inverse problems for finite scalar Jacobi matrices were considered by several authors (see [GS] and references therein). Some uniqueness results for matrix-valued Jacobi operators were obtained in [CGR], and the intimate connection to matrix-valued orthogonal polynomials and the moment problem were treated in [L],[DL].

The main goal of our paper is to give the complete characterization of the set of spectral data for operators $\mathcal{J}_{a,b}$. We hope to use similar ideas to obtain the complete characterization of the spectral data for the Sturm-Liouville operators $\mathcal{H}y = -y'' + V(x)y$, $y(0) = y(1) = 0$, on the unit interval $[0, 1]$, where $V = V^*$ is a $m \times m$ matrix potential. Note that the "local characterization" of the spectral data for operators $\mathcal{H}$ was obtained in [CK].

In the proof we use the approach from [GS] and some technique from [CK]. The inverse spectral problem consists of the following parts: i) uniqueness, ii) characterization, iii) reconstruction. In Theorem 1.4 we solve all these problems simultaneously.

2 Preliminaries

For each two sequences of polynomials $\vartheta(z) = (\vartheta_n(z))_0^{p+1}$, $\eta = (\eta_n(z))_0^{p+1}$ we introduce the Wronskian

$$\{\vartheta, \eta\}_n(z) = \vartheta_n^*(\overline{z}) a_n \eta_{n+1}(z) - \vartheta_{n+1}^*(\overline{z}) a_n^* \eta_n(z), \qquad n = 0, .., p.$$

If (1.2) holds for both ϑ and η, then $\{\vartheta, \eta\}_n(z)$ does not depend on n. In particular,

$$\varphi_p^*(\overline{z})\varphi_{p+1}(z) - \varphi_{p+1}^*(\overline{z})\varphi_p(z) = \{\varphi, \varphi\}(z) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \chi_0^*(\overline{z}) = \{\chi, \varphi\}(z) = \varphi_{p+1}(z), \quad (2.1)$$

since $a_0 = a_p = I$ by our convention. Recall that

$$\mathcal{E}_j = \operatorname{Ker} \varphi_{p+1}(\lambda_j) = \{h \in \mathbb{C}^m : \varphi_{p+1}(\lambda_j)h = 0\}, \quad j \in \overline{1, N},$$

and $P_j : \mathbb{C}^m \to \mathcal{E}_j$ is the orthogonal projector. Also, we need the subspaces

$$\mathcal{E}_j^\sharp = \operatorname{Ker} \varphi_{p+1}^*(\lambda_j) = \{h \in \mathbb{C}^m : \varphi_{p+1}^*(\lambda_j)h = 0\}, \quad j \in \overline{1, N},$$

and the orthogonal projectors $P_j^\sharp : \mathbb{C}^m \to \mathcal{E}_j^\sharp$. Note that $\dim \mathcal{E}_j^\sharp = \dim \mathcal{E}_j = k_j$.

Lemma 2.1. *For each $j \in \overline{1, N}$ the following identities are fulfilled:*

$$P_j^\sharp \varphi_p(\lambda_j) P_j = \varphi_p(\lambda_j) P_j, \qquad \chi_1(\lambda_j)\varphi_p(\lambda_j)P_j = P_j, \quad (2.2)$$

$$I \leqslant \sum_{j=1}^{p} \varphi_n^*(\lambda_j)\varphi_n(\lambda_j) = \varphi_p^*(\lambda_j)\dot{\varphi}_{p+1}(\lambda_j) - \varphi_{p+1}^*(\lambda_j)\dot{\varphi}_p(\lambda_j). \quad (2.3)$$

Proof. Using (2.1), we obtain $\varphi_{p+1}^*(\lambda_j)\varphi_p(\lambda_j)P_j = \varphi_p^*(\lambda_j)\varphi_{p+1}(\lambda_j)P_j = 0$. This means $\varphi_p(\lambda_j)P_j \subset \mathcal{E}_j^\sharp$, i.e., $P_j^\sharp \varphi_p(\lambda_j)P_j = \varphi_p(\lambda_j)P_j$. Let

$$y_n = \chi_n(\lambda_j)\varphi_p(\lambda_j)P_j - \varphi_n(\lambda_j)P_j, \quad n = 0, .., p+1.$$

The sequence $(y_n)_0^{p+1}$ satisfies (1.2) for $z = \lambda_j$ and

$$y_{p+1} = -\varphi_{p+1}(\lambda_j)P_j = 0, \qquad y_p = \varphi_p(\lambda_j)P_j - \varphi_p(\lambda_j)P_j = 0.$$

This yields $y_n = 0$ for all $n \in \overline{1, p}$. In particular, $y_1 = \chi_1(\lambda_j)\varphi_p(\lambda_j)P_j - P_j = 0$.

Furthermore, using equation (1.2), we obtain

$$\varphi_{n+1}^*(\overline{z})a_n^* + \varphi_n^*(\overline{z})(b_n - z) + \varphi_{n-1}^*(\overline{z})a_{n-1} = 0,$$

$$a_n\dot{\varphi}_{n+1}(z) + (b_n - z)\dot{\varphi}_n(z) + a_{n-1}^*\dot{\varphi}_{n-1}(z) = \varphi_n(z).$$

Multiplying the first equation by $\dot{\varphi}_n(z)$ from the right, the second equation by $\varphi_n^*(\overline{z})$ from the left and taking the difference, we deduce that

$$\varphi_n^*(\overline{z})\varphi_n(z) = \{\varphi, \dot{\varphi}\}_n(z) - \{\varphi, \dot{\varphi}\}_{n-1}(z), \quad n \in \overline{1, p}.$$

The summing implies (2.3) since $\{\varphi, \dot{\varphi}\}_0 = 0$. Note that $I = \varphi_1^*(\lambda_j)\varphi_1(\lambda_j)$ by definition. $\square$

Lemma 2.2. *(i) For each* $j \in \overline{1,N}$ *the mapping* $Y_j = P_j^\sharp \dot\varphi_{p+1}(\lambda_j)P_j : \mathcal{E}_j \to \mathcal{E}_j^\sharp$ *is invertible.*
(ii) For each $j \in \overline{1,N}$ *the following asymptotics holds:*

$$(\varphi_{p+1}(z))^{-1} = \frac{P_j Y_j^{-1} P_j^\sharp}{z-\lambda_j} + O(1) \quad as \quad z \to \lambda_j. \tag{2.4}$$

Proof. (i) Let $Y_j h = 0$ for some $h \in \mathcal{E}_j$. Using (2.3) and (2.2), we obtain

$$P_j \leqslant P_j \sum_{n=1}^{p} \varphi_n^*(\lambda_j)\varphi_n(\lambda_j)P_j = P_j \varphi_p^*(\lambda_j)\dot\varphi_{p+1}(\lambda_j)P_j = P_j\varphi_p^*(\lambda_j)P_j^\sharp \cdot P_j^\sharp \dot\varphi_{p+1}(\lambda_j)P_j.$$

Since the left hand side is positive definite on $\mathcal{E}_j$, the operator $Y_j = P_j^\sharp\dot\varphi_{p+1}(\lambda_j)P_j$ is invertible.
(ii) Let

$$\varphi_{p+1}(z) = \begin{pmatrix} A(z) & B(z) \\ C(z) & D(z) \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A &= (P_j^\sharp)^\perp \varphi_{p+1} P_j^\perp : \ \mathcal{E}_j^\perp \to (\mathcal{E}_j^\sharp)^\perp, \qquad & B &= (P_j^\sharp)^\perp \varphi_{p+1} P_j : \ \mathcal{E}_j \to (\mathcal{E}_j^\sharp)^\perp, \\ C &= P_j^\sharp \varphi_{p+1} P_j^\perp : \ \mathcal{E}_j^\perp \to \mathcal{E}_j^\sharp, & D &= P_j^\sharp \varphi_{p+1} P_j : \ \mathcal{E}_j \to \mathcal{E}_j^\sharp. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\varphi_{p+1}(\lambda_j)P_j = 0$ and $P_j^\sharp\varphi_{p+1}(\lambda_j) = 0$, we have $B, C = O(t)$ as $t = z - \lambda_j \to 0$. Moreover,

$$D = t \cdot P_j^\sharp \dot\varphi_{p+1}(\lambda_j)P_j + O(t^2) = tY_j + O(t^2), \qquad A = X_j + O(t),$$

where $X_j = (P_j^\sharp)^\perp \varphi_{p+1}(\lambda_j)P_j^\perp = \varphi_{p+1}(\lambda_j)P_j^\perp : \mathcal{E}_j^\perp \to (\mathcal{E}_j^\sharp)^\perp$. The operator X_j is invertible, since $\varphi_{p+1}h = 0$ implies $h \in \mathcal{E}_j$. The operator Y_j is invertible due to (i). Therefore, the standard formula for the inverse matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} A^{-1} + A^{-1}BH^{-1}CA^{-1} & -A^{-1}BH^{-1} \\ -H^{-1}CA^{-1} & H^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad H = D - CA^{-1}B,$$

gives

$$(\varphi_{p+1}(z))^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} X_j^{-1} + O(t) & O(1) \\ O(1) & t^{-1}Y_j^{-1} + O(1) \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{as} \quad t \to 0.$$

In particular, $\operatorname*{res}_{z=\lambda_j} (\varphi_{p+1}(z))^{-1} = P_j Y_j^{-1} P_j^\sharp$. ☐

Proof of Proposition 1.2. Note that $\chi_0^*(\overline{z})\chi_1(z) - \chi_1^*(\overline{z})\chi_0(z) = \{\chi,\chi\}_0(z) = 0$. This gives $M^*(\overline{z}) = M(z)$, $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Due to (2.4), the function $(\chi_0(z))^{-1} = (\varphi_{p+1}^*(\overline{z}))^{-1}$ has a simple pole at each point $z = \lambda_j$. Therefore, the function $M(z) = -\chi_1(z)(\chi_0(z))^{-1}$ has a simple pole at $z = \lambda_j$ and

$$B_j = -\operatorname*{res}_{z=\lambda_j} M(z) = \chi_1(\lambda_j) \cdot (\operatorname*{res}_{z=\lambda_j} (\varphi_{p+1}(z))^{-1})^* = \chi_1(\lambda_j) P_j^\sharp (Y_j^*)^{-1} P_j,$$

where $Y_j = P_j^\sharp \dot\varphi_{p+1}(\lambda_j) P_j : \mathcal{E}_j \to \mathcal{E}_j^\sharp$. This yields $B_j|_{\mathbb{C}^N \ominus \mathcal{E}_j} = 0$. Furthermore, using (2.3) and (2.2), we obtain

$$G_j = G_j^* = P_j \dot\varphi_{p+1}^*(\lambda_j)\varphi_p(\lambda_j)P_j = P_j \dot\varphi_{p+1}^*(\lambda_j)P_j^\sharp \varphi_p(\lambda_j)P_j = P_j Y_j^* P_j^\sharp \cdot \varphi_p(\lambda_j)P_j.$$

Hence (2.2) yields,

$$B_j G_j = \chi_1(\lambda_j) P_j^\sharp \varphi_p(\lambda_j) P_j = \chi_1(\lambda_j)\varphi_p(\lambda_j)P_j = P_j,$$

i.e., $B_j|_{\mathcal{E}_j} = g_j^{-1}$. Asymptotics $M(z) = -Iz^{-1} + O(z^{-2})$ as $z \to \infty$ (see (1.2) for $n = 0$) and the standard arguments from the function theory give (1.6). ☐

3 Proof of Theorem 1.4

Lemma 3.1. *The system* $\{(\lambda_j, P_j), j \in \overline{1,N}\}$ *is* p *–tame, iff there is no nonzero vector-valued polynomial* $F(z) \in \mathbb{C}^m$ *such that* $\deg F \leqslant p-1$ *and* $P_j F(\lambda_j) = 0$ *for all* $j \in \overline{1,N}$.

Proof. Note that for each vector $v = (v_s)_0^{p-1}$, $v_s \in \mathbb{C}^m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &(v_0^*, v_1^*, ..., v_{p-1}^*) \begin{pmatrix} T_0 & T_1 & ... & T_{p-1} \\ T_1 & T_2 & ... & T_p \\ ... & ... & ... & ... \\ T_{p-1} & T_p & ... & T_{2p-2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v_0 \\ v_1 \\ ... \\ v_{p-1} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \sum_{s,k=0}^{p-1} v_s^* \sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_j^{s+k} P_j v_k = \sum_{j=1}^N F^*(\lambda_j) P_j F(\lambda_j) \geqslant 0, \qquad (3.1) \end{aligned}$$

where $F(z) = \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} z^s v_s$. Therefore, $\det(T_{s+k})_{s,k=0}^{p-1} \neq 0$ iff there is no nonzero polynomial $F(z)$ of degree at most $p-1$ such that $P_j F(\lambda_j) = 0$ for all $j \in \overline{1,N}$. ☐

Proof of Theorem 1.4. The proof is similar for both cases (i),(ii) and consists of three parts. We need also two simple technical Lemmas 3.2, 3.3 that are located at the end.

1. $\boldsymbol{\Psi}$ maps $\mathbf{S}_+^{\mathbf{p-1}} \times \mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{p}}$ and $\mathbf{L}_+^{\mathbf{p-1}} \times \mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{p}}$ into $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbf{p}}$.
Recall that the identity $\sum_{j=1}^N P_j g_j^{-1} P_j = \sum_{j=1}^N B_j = I$ is proved in Proposition 1.2. In order to check that $\{(\lambda_j, P_j), j \in \overline{1,N}\}$ is $p-$tame, we use Lemma 3.1. Suppose that $P_j F(\lambda_j) = 0$ for all $j \in \overline{1,N}$ and some vector-valued polynomial $F(z)$ of degree at most $p-1$. Using asymptotics (2.4) near poles of $(\chi_0(z))^{-1} = (\varphi_{p+1}^*(\overline{z}))^{-1}$, we deduce that the vector-valued function $(\chi_0(z))^{-1}F(z)$ is entire. On the other hand, $\chi_0^{-1}(z)F(z) = O(z^{-p} \cdot z^{p-1}) = O(z^{-1})$ as $z \to \infty$. The Liouville Theorem gives $\chi_0^{-1}(z)F(z) = 0$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and hence $F = 0$.

2. $\boldsymbol{\Psi} : \mathbf{S}_+^{\mathbf{p-1}} \times \mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{p}} \to \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbf{p}}$ is one-to-one and $\boldsymbol{\Psi} : \mathbf{L}_+^{\mathbf{p-1}} \times \mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{p}} \to \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbf{p}}$ is one-to-one.
Following [GS], we introduce the sequence of M-functions

$$M_n(z) = -\chi_n(z)[a_{n-1}^* \chi_{n-1}(z)]^{-1}, \quad n \in \overline{1,p+1}.$$

Using (1.2), it is easy to see that

$$-(M_n(z))^{-1}=[(z-b_n)\chi_n(z)-a_n\chi_{n+1}(z)](\chi_n(z))^{-1}=Iz-b_n+a_nM_{n+1}(z)a_n^* \tag{3.2}$$

for all $n\in\overline{1,p}$. In particular, $M_n(z)=-z^{-1}+O(z^{-2})$ as $z\to\infty$ for all n. Due to Proposition 1.2, the spectral data $(\lambda_j,P_j,g_j)_1^N$ uniquely determine the function $M=M_0$. Therefore, the matrices b_1 and $A=a_1a_1^*$ are uniquely determined by the asymptotics

$$M(z)=-Iz^{-1}-b_1z^{-1}-(a_1a_1^*+b_1^2)z^{-2}+O(z^{-3})\quad\text{as}\quad z\to\infty.$$

If $a_1,\widetilde{a}_1\in\mathbf{S}_+$ (the case (i)) and $a_1a_1^*=A=\widetilde{a}_1\widetilde{a}_1^*$, then $a_1=\widetilde{a}_1$. The same is true, if $a_1,\widetilde{a}_1\in\mathbf{L}_+$ (the case (ii), see Lemma 3.3). Hence, the matrices b_1 and a_1 are uniquely determined by the spectral data. Therefore, the function $M_1(z)=a_1^{-1}(Iz-b_1-(M(z))^{-1})(a_1^{-1})^*$ is uniquely determined too. Repeating this procedure, one uniquely determines all matrices b_n, a_n in both cases (i), (ii).

3. $\mathbf{\Psi}:\mathbf{S}_+^{\mathbf{p-1}}\times\mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{p}}\to\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{p}}$ is onto and $\mathbf{\Psi}:\mathbf{L}_+^{\mathbf{p-1}}\times\mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{p}}\to\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{p}}$ is onto.

Let $(\lambda_j,P_j,g_j)_1^N\in\mathcal{S}_p$. We shall construct b_n and a_n step by step. Introduce the function

$$M(z)=-\sum_{j=1}^N\frac{B_j}{z-\lambda_j}, \tag{3.3}$$

where $B_j=P_jg_j^{-1}P_j=B_j^*\geqslant0$. Note that $M(\overline{z})=M^*(z)$, $z\in\mathbb{C}$, and

$$\operatorname{Im}M(z)=\frac{1}{2i}(M(z)-M^*(z))>0\quad\text{for all}\quad\operatorname{Im}z>0.$$

In particular, $\det M(z)\neq0$, if $\operatorname{Im}z>0$. This gives

$$-\operatorname{Im}M^{-1}(z)=(M^{-1})^*(z)\cdot\operatorname{Im}M(z)\cdot M^{-1}(z)>0,\quad\operatorname{Im}z>0.$$

Moreover, $-M^{-1}(z)=Iz+O(1)$ as $z\to\infty$, since $\sum_{j=1}^NB_j=I$. Therefore, the Herglotz representation theorem for rational matrix-valued functions (see Lemma 3.2) yields

$$-M^{-1}(z)=Iz+C-\sum_{s=1}^K\frac{D_s}{z-\mu_s} \tag{3.4}$$

for some $\mu_s\in\mathbb{R}$, $C=C^*$ and $D_s=D_s^*\geqslant0$, $s\in\overline{1,K}$. Denote $\mathcal{F}_s=\mathbb{C}^N\ominus\operatorname{Ker}D_s$ and let $Q_s:\mathbb{C}^N\to\mathcal{F}_s\subset\mathbb{C}^N$ be the orthogonal projectors onto $\mathcal{F}_s$. In accordance with (3.2), we set

$$b_1=-C.$$

Let $\lambda_j\neq\mu_s$ for all j,s. Then all poles of the meromorphic function $\det M(z)$ are $\{\lambda_j\}_1^N$ and all roots are $\{\mu_s\}_1^K$. Moreover, each λ_j is a pole of the multiplicity $\dim\mathcal{E}_j=\operatorname{rank}P_j$ and each μ_s is a root of the multiplicity $\dim \mathcal{F}_s = \operatorname{rank} Q_s$. Since $\det M(z) = (-z)^{-m} + O(z^{-m-1})$ as $z \to \infty$, we have

$$\sum_{s=1}^{K} \operatorname{rank} Q_s = -m + \sum_{j=1}^{N} \operatorname{rank} P_j = m(p-1). \tag{3.5}$$

If $\lambda_j = \mu_s$ for some j, s, then the corresponding pole and the root (partially) compensate each other but (3.5) still holds true.

If $p = 1$, then (3.5) yields $K = 0$ and the reconstruction procedure stops.

Let $p > 1$. We show that the system $\{(\mu_s, Q_s), s \in \overline{1, K}\}$ is $(p-1)$–tame, this is the crucial point of the proof. Suppose that $Q_s G(\mu_s) = 0$ for all s and some vector-valued polynomial $G(z)$ of degree at most $p-2$. Due to Lemma 3.1, it is sufficient to prove that $G = 0$. In view of (3.4), the vector-valued function

$$F(z) = M^{-1}(z) G(z)$$

is entire and $F(z) = O(z \cdot z^{p-2}) = O(z^{p-1})$ as $z \to \infty$, i.e., $F(z)$ is a vector-valued polynomial of degree at most $p-1$. Since $M(z)F(z) = G(z)$ is entire too, (3.3) yields $P_j F(\lambda_j) = 0$ for all $j \in \overline{1, N}$. Due to Lemma 3.1, this implies $F = 0$. Hence, $G = 0$.

Define $A = \sum_{s=1}^{K} D_s$ (note that $A = A^* \geqslant 0$). In order to show that $A > 0$, suppose that $f^* A f = 0$ for some constant vector $f \in \mathbb{C}^m$, $f \neq 0$. This gives $Q_s f = 0$ for all $s \in \overline{1, K}$, which is a contradiction with Lemma 3.1. Hence, $A > 0$. In accordance with (3.2), we choose a_1 such that

$$a_1 a_1^* = A.$$

In both cases: (i) $a_1 = \sqrt{A} > 0$ and (ii) see Lemma 3.3, there exists a unique matrix solution a_1 of this equation. Now we set

$$M_1(z) = -a_1^{-1}(b_1 - Iz - (M(z))^{-1})(a_1^{-1})^* = -\sum_{s=1}^{K} \frac{\widetilde{D}_s}{z - \mu_s},$$

where

$$\widetilde{D}_s = a_1^{-1} D_s (a_1^{-1})^*, \quad s \in \overline{1, K}, \qquad \text{and} \qquad \sum_{s=1}^{K} \widetilde{D}_s = I.$$

Note that $\operatorname{Ker} \widetilde{D}_s = a_1^* \operatorname{Ker} D_s = a_1^* \mathcal{F}_s^{\perp}$. Let $\widetilde{Q}_s : \mathbb{C}^N \to (a_1^* \mathcal{F}_s^{\perp})^{\perp}$ be the orthogonal projectors. Suppose that $\widetilde{Q}_s G(\mu_s) = 0$ for all $s \in \overline{1, K}$ and some vector-valued polynomial $G(z)$ of degree at most $p-2$. Then $G(\mu_s) \in a_1^* \mathcal{F}_s^{\perp}$, i.e., $Q_s[(a_1^*)^{-1} G(\mu_s)] = 0$ for all $s \in \overline{1, K}$. Due to Lemma 3.1, this gives $(a_1^*)^{-1} G = 0$ and hence $G = 0$. Therefore, the new system $\{(\mu_s, \widetilde{Q}_s), s \in \overline{1, K}\}$ is $(p-1)$–tame. Repeating the procedure given above, we reconstruct b_2, a_2, b_3, a_3 and so on. □

Lemma 3.2. *Let the rational matrix-valued function* $f(z)$ *have only real poles and satisfies* $f(z)=f^*(\overline{z})$, $z\in\mathbb{C}$, *and* $\operatorname{Im} f(z)=\frac{1}{2i}\left(f(z)-f^*(z)\right)>0$, $\operatorname{Im} z>0$. *Then* $f(z)$ *has the form*

$$f(z)=D_0z+C-\sum_{s=1}^{K}\frac{D_s}{z-\lambda_s}$$

for some $K\geqslant 0$, $\lambda_s\in\mathbb{R}$, $C=C^*$ *and* $D_s=D_s^*\geqslant 0$, $s=0,..,K$.

Proof. Due to the identity $f(z)=f^*(\overline{z})$, we have

$$f(z)=\sum_{j=1}^{K_0}D_{0,j}z^{j+1}+C+\sum_{s=1}^{K}\sum_{j=1}^{K_j}\frac{D_{s,j}}{(z-\lambda_s)^j}$$

for some $D_{s,j}=D_{s,j}^*$ and $C=C^*$. Using the condition $\operatorname{Im} f(z)>0$ as $\operatorname{Im} z>0$ near the points $z=\lambda_s$ and $z=\infty$, we deduce that $D_{s,j}=0$, if $j\geqslant 2$, and $D_s=-D_{s,1}\geqslant 0$. $\square$

Lemma 3.3. *Let* $A\in\mathbf{S}_+$. *Then there exists unique matrix* $a\in\mathbf{L}_+$ *such that* $aa^*=A$.

Proof. Let

$$A=\begin{pmatrix} B & C^* \\ C & D\end{pmatrix},\qquad a=\begin{pmatrix} b & 0 \\ c & d\end{pmatrix}$$

where B,b are positive real numbers, C,c are vectors and D,d are $(m-1)\times(m-1)$ matrices. The equation $aa^*=A$ is equivalent to

$$b\overline{b}=b^2=B,\qquad c\overline{b}=C,\qquad dd^*=D-cc^*=D-\frac{CC^*}{B}.$$

Note that $D-\frac{1}{B}CC^*>0$, since $A>0$. Now the problem is reduced to the similar problem for $(m-1)\times(m-1)$ matrices. The case $m=1$ is trivial. $\square$

References

[CK] Chelkak, D.; Korotyaev, E.: Parametrization of the isospectral set for the vector-valued Sturm-Liouville problem, submitted.

[CGR] Clark, S.; Gesztesy, F.; Renger, W.: Trace formulas and Borg-type theorems for matrix-valued Jacobi and Dirac finite difference operators. J. Diff. Eq. 219 (2005), no. 1, 144–182.

[DL] Duran, A.; Lopez-Rodriguez, P.: The matrix moment problem. Margarita mathematica, 333–348, Univ. La Rioja, Logroo, 2001.

[GS] Gesztesy, F.; Simon, B.: m-functions and inverse spectral analysis for finite and semi-infinite Jacobi matrices. J. Anal. Math. 73 (1997), 267–297.

[L] Lopez-Rodriguez, P.: Riesz's theorem for orthogonal matrix polynomials. Constr. Approx. 15 (1999), no. 1, 135–151.

[T] Teschl, G.: Jacobi Operators and Completely Integrable Nonlinear Lattices, Mathematical Survey Monographs, vol. 72, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2000.